| ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY | STATE BAR NUMBER: SBN: 180998 | FOR COURT USE ONLY | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| NAME: Sharona Eslamboly Hakim, Esq. | | | |
| FIRM NAME: Law Offices of Sharona Eslambo | | | |
| STREET ADDRESS: 8730 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 5 | | | |
| CITY: Beverly Hills | STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 90211 | | |
| TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 289-9100 | FAX NO.: (310) 289-9101 | | |
| EMAIL ADDRESS: geoffrey@sehlawfirm.com | | | |
| ATTORNEY FOR (name): ALEJANDRO NERI FAUS | | | |
| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY STREET ADDRESS: 191 North First Street | OF Santa Clara | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS: 191 North First Street | | | |
| CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Jose 95113 | | | |
| BRANCH NAME: Downtown Superior Court | (DTS) | | |
| PLAINTIFF: ALEJANDRO NERI FAUSTO | | | |
| DEFENDANT: SAN JOSE CAPITOL FLEA M | ARKET. SYUFY ENTERPRISES, LP. AND | | |
| ✓ DOES 1 TO 25, Inclusive | | | |
| | | CASE NUMBER: | |
| COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Prope | rty Damage, Wrongful Death | CASE NUMBER: | |
| AMENDED (Number): | | | |
| Type (check all that apply): | | | |
| | (specify): General Negligence and Premise Liability | | |
| | gful Death | | |
| ✓ Personal Injury ✓ Other | Damages (specify): according to proof | | |
| Jurisdiction (check all that apply): | | | |
| ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE (| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | ceed \$10,000 | | |
| exceeds \$1 | | | |
| ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CA | | | |
| ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this a | mended complaint | | |
| from limited to unlimited | | | |
| from unlimited to limited | | | |
| 1. Plaintiff (name or names): ALEJANDRO | | | |
| alleges causes of action against defenda SAN JOSE CAPITOL FLEA MARKET, S' | nt (name or names): YUFY ENTERPRISES, LP, AND DOES 1 TO | 25, INCLUSIVE | |
| 2. This pleading, including attachments and | exhibits consists of the following number of | nages: Five (5) | |
| Each plaintiff named above is a competer | · • | paggo. 1 11 o (o) | |
| • | it addit | | |
| a. except plaintiff (name): | | | |
| | I to do business in California. | | |
| (2) an unincorporated ent | ity (describe): | | |
| (3) a public entity (describ | pe): | | |
| (4) a minor an ac | dult | | |
| (a) for whom a c | guardian or conservator of the estate or a gua | ardian ad litem has been appointed. | |
| (b) other (specif | - | | |
| (/ | | | |
| (5) other (specify): | | | |
| b. except plaintiff (name): | | | |
| (1) a corporation qualified | I to do business in California. | | |
| (2) an unincorporated ent | ity (describe): | | |
| (3) a public entity (describ | pe): | | |
| (4) a minor an a | dult | | |
| —————————————————————————————————————— | guardian or conservator of the estate or a gua | ardian ad litem has been appointed. | |
| (b) other (specif | - | | |
| · · · <u></u> | ,,, | | |
| (5) other (specify): | | | |
| Information about additional plaintiffs v | vho are not competent adults is shown in Atta | | |
| | | <u>P</u> | Page 1 of 3 |

| SHORT TITLE: Fausto v. San Jose Capitol Flea Market, et al | CASE NUMBER: |
|--|---|
| 4. Plaintiff (name): is doing business under the fictitious name (specify): | · |
| and has complied with the fictitious business name laws. 5. Each defendant named above is a natural person a. except defendant (name): SAN JOSE CAPITOL FLEA MARKET (1) a business organization, form unknown. (2) a corporation. (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): | c. except defendant (name): (1) a business organization, form unknown. (2) a corporation. (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): |
| (4) a public entity (describe): | (4) a public entity (describe): |
| (5) other (specify): | (5) other (specify): |
| b. except defendant (name): SYUFY ENTERPRISES, LP (1) a business organization, form unknown. (2) a corporation. (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): | d. except defendant (name): (1) a business organization, form unknown. (2) a corporation. (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): |
| (4) a public entity (describe): | (4) a public entity (describe): |
| (5) other (specify): Limited Partnership | (5) other (specify): |
| Information about additional defendants who are not natu 6. The true names of defendants sued as Does are unknown to place. | · |
| a. Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): 1 - 15, Inclu- named defendants and acted within the scope of that | were the agents or employees of other |
| b. Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): 16 - 25, Incl plaintiff. | usive are persons whose capacities are unknown to |
| 7. Defendants who are joined under Code of Civil Procedure | section 382 are (names): |
| 8. This court is the proper court because a at least one defendant now resides in its jurisdictional b the principal place of business of a defendant corpora c injury to person or damage to personal property occur d other (specify): | ion or unincorporated association is in its jurisdictional area. |
| 9. Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and a. has complied with applicable claims statutes, or b. is excused from complying because (specify): | |

PLD-PI-001

| SHORT TITLE: Fausto v. San Jose Capitol Flea Market, et al | CASE NUMBER: | 1 25-1 1-001 |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| · · | (anah namplaint must be us see | or more |
| 10. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (causes of action attached): | eacn complaint must nave one | or more |
| a. Motor Vehicle | | |
| b. General Negligence | | |
| c. Intentional Tort | | |
| d. Products Liability | | |
| e. Premises Liability | | |
| f. Other (specify): | | |
| 11. Plaintiff has suffered <i>(check all that apply)</i> | | |
| a. 🗸 wage loss. | | |
| b. loss of use of property. | | |
| c. hospital and medical expenses. | | |
| d. general damage. | | |
| e. property damage. | | |
| f. loss of earning capacity.g. other damage (specify): | | |
| According to Proof | | |
| 12 The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff to thea listed in Attachment 12.b as follows: | deceased are | |
| 13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court. | | |
| 14. Plaintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitab | le; and for | |
| a. (1) compensatory damages. | | |
| (2) punitive damages. | and the all (d)) | |
| b. The amount of damages is (in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, you m (1) ✓ according to proof. | nust cneck (1)): | |
| (2) in the amount of: \$ | | |
| 15. The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as follows GN-1, Prem.L-1, Prem.L-2, Prem.L-3, Prem.L-5 | s (specify paragraph numbers): | |
| Date: May 31, 2024 | | |
| Sharona Eslamboly Hakim, Esq. | 1 1/1/1 | |
| Sharona Estamboly makim, Esq. | (SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIEF OR ATTORN | EV) |

SHORT TITLE:

CASE NUMBER:
Fausto v. San Jose Capitol Flea Market, et al

| v. San Jose Capitol Flea | Market, et al |
|--------------------------|--|
| FIRST | CAUSE OF ACTION—General Negligence Page 4 |
| , | Complaint Cross - Complaint ction form for each cause of action.) EJANDRO NERI FAUSTO |
| alleges that defen | ant (name): SAN JOSE CAPITOL FLEA MARKET, SYUFY ENTERPRISES, LP, AND |
| · · · · | imate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant the damage to plaintiff |

(description of reasons for liability):

on (date): or about June 1, 2022

On or about June 1, 2022, Plaintiff ALEJANDRO NERI FAUSTO was seriously injured in the premises located at 3630 Hillcap Ave., in the City of San Jose, County of Santa Clara, State of California. On or about June 1, 2022, Defendants and each of them negligently and carelessly owned, occupied, maintained, inspected, supervised, operated, controlled, managed, cleaned, used, repaired, directed and superintended the above-described premises so as to cause Plaintiff ALEJANDRO NERI FAUSTO to trip and fall when he came into contact with a dangerous condition on the subject property. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff ALEJANDRO NERI FAUSTO sustained injuries and damages. Due to the acts and failures to act, Defendants and each of them are liable for the injuries to Plaintiff ALEJANDRO NERI FAUSTO.

at (place): 3630 Hillcap Ave., in the City of San Jose, County of Santa Clara, State of California

PLD-PI-001(4) CASE NUMBER: SHORT TITLE: Fausto v. San Jose Capitol Flea Market, et al **CAUSE OF ACTION—Premises Liability SECOND** Page 5 ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) Prem.L-1. Plaintiff (name): ALEJANDRO NERI FAUSTO alleges the acts of defendants were the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. plaintiff was injured on the following premises in the following On (date): or about June 1, 2022 fashion (description of premises and circumstances of injury): Plaintiff ALEJANDRO NERI FAUSTO while at the premises owned, occupied, maintained, leased, used, controlled, managed, directed, inspected, repaired, cleaned, supervised, and operated by Defendants and each of them, located at 3630 Hillcap Ave., in the City of San Jose, County of Santa Clara, State of California, came into contact with a dangerous and hazardous condition which caused Plaintiff ALEJANDRO NERI FAUSTO to trip and fall, thereby sustaining injuries and damages. Count One—Negligence The defendants who negligently owned, maintained, managed and Prem.L-2. operated the described premises were *(names):* SAN JOSE CAPITOL FLEA MARKET, SYUFY ENTERPRISES, LP, AND Does 1 to 25, INCLUSIVE Count Two—Willful Failure to Warn [Civil Code section 846] The defendant owners who willfully Prem.L-3. or maliciously failed to guard or warn against a dangerous condition, use, structure, or activity were SAN JOSE CAPITOL FLEA MARKET, SYUFY ENTERPRISES, LP. AND Does 1 to 25, INCLUSIVE Plaintiff, a recreational user, was an invited guest a paying guest. Count Three—Dangerous Condition of Public Property The defendants who owned public property Prem.L-4. on which a dangerous condition existed were (names): Does to a. The defendant public entity had actual constructive notice of the existence of the dangerous condition in sufficient time prior to the injury to have corrected it. The condition was created by employees of the defendant public entity. Prem.L-5. a. Allegations about Other Defendants The defendants who were the agents and employees of the other defendants and acted within the scope of the agency were (names): SAN JOSE CAPITOL FLEA MARKET, SYUFY ENTERPRISES, LP, AND to 25, INCLUSIVE The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for other reasons and the reasons for their liability are

described in attachment Prem.L-5.b as follows (names):